

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,167	06/27/2003	John B. Hageman	DP-308578 7500/244 7809	
	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
SCOTT A. MCBAIN DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-410-202 P.O. BOX 5052			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
Troy, MI 48007			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Swall assume the second	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		(4) 5. (1).				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4)区 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	īx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	action is non-final.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
Status						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)				
Period for Reply						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/609,167	HAGEMAN ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,167

Art Unit: 3683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3,5,7-10,13-15,8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deane et al..

Regarding claims 1,10,16 as broadly claimed, Deane et al. discloses a brake assembly comprising a rotor (inherent in the reference) a brake caliper 10 including a hydraulic "actuator motor", at least one friction pad and at least one thermal conduit 16 functioning as claimed. Note coolant line 23.

Regarding claim 3, as broadly claimed, these requirements are met. See figure 1.

Regarding claims 5,7 as broadly claimed, these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 8 see the top of column 3 line 2.

Regarding claims 9,13,14,18,19 Deane et al. uses a radiator as the heatsink member. Radiators have "fins" or vanes. Note the fan in figure 1.

Regarding claims 15,20 as broadly claimed, these requirements are met.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,167 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2,6,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deane et al..

Regarding claims 2,11 although Deane et al. is silent as to the relative thermal conductivities of the conduit and caliper it would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled worker in the art at the time of the invention to have made the conduit of Deane et al. from a material having a higher thermal conductivity than that of the caliper simply to offer better dissipation of thermal energy away from the brake components. Note that Deane et al. discusses using different materials in column 1 around line 40.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,167

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claim 6 simply to have attached the thermal conduit of Deane et al. to a "suspension component" would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled worker in the art at the time the invention was made to keep it clear from possible damage or simply as a necessary attachment point.

7. Claims 4,12,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deane et al. in view of Maeda.

Regarding claims 4,12,17 to have made the thermal conduit 16,23 of Deane et al. from a flexible material such as steel reinforced rubber-like hose, as taught by Maeda, would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled worker in the art to more easily adapt the brake system to different vehicles in that the conduit could be manipulated, or "movably flexed" more easily. See Maeda figure 13 and column 17.

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record has been cited for showing other types of cooling systems for brake calipers.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 5/18/04